G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Lan 132 of 06 - 102
B 127 of 06 - 108
LRB 121 of 06 - 105
Lan 107 of 11 - 153

19/2.13 (Lanty)

File No. G 4 of 12

Serial Nos. 156

Later references.

Lany 35 - 158 HRB Dec 1915
241 - 18 Rs 1105
Lany 52 - 22 81-4.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

F. 28-C *Serial Nos.* 1 - 6

Part B for March 1913

SUBJECT.

Extension of Village Sites

D.R.H Subject no 224 of 11

To the Revenue - no 5962 & 25/4/11 requesting him to favour with his opinion in the matter of consulting the Dist. Mr. & Lanty officer in the selection of waste land for extension of village sites.

3. From the Revenue - no 12765 & 10/5/12 - stating that it may be left to the Dy Comr. decide in which cases he shd call for an inspection by the Dist Mr & Lanty officer

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

4. To the Laudy Comr No 1944 of 30/8/12 requesting him to favour Govt with his opinion as to the points in regard to which info should generally be obtained & recorded before selecting the sites.

5. From the Laudy Comr No 1146 of 9-9-12 submitting for Consideration the points to be observed in selecting sites for village extension.

6. To the Revenue, Dy Comrs of Dists Laudy Comr, Messrs Mahalingam & Ramaswami Iyengar, D.C. Ahemba No 6623-24 of 1/3/13 directing that when lands are regd for either the formation of new villages or extension of existing villages of not more than 5 houses the opinion of the Dist Med & Sanity officer need not be insisted on, otherwise his opinion may be obtained

H Hubback
29/4/13

G.R.S. 11]

1912-13
~~1911~~.

C. 158
4.1.13.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(~~Land Rev.~~ Sanitary).

NOTES.

File No. 94-12 ~~413~~

FILE HEADING.

Extension of village Sites.

From D.R.O. Subject No 224 of 1911.

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. / Spare Copies	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. Draft letter to the Rev: Comr Sind for approval or other orders.

Y.M. 26 S.S.M.
15/4/12 17.4.12 C.M.

Issue

2 To the Revenue Commissioner, No. R.S.962 & 25.4.11 Request his favor with his opinion in the matter of consulting the District Officers and Sanitary Officer in the selection of new lands for extension of village sites.

(NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

San 94 1912-13
GR File No. 443 of 1911-12

5434

Serial No. 2

From the Revenue Commr
No: 12765 & 10 May 1912
States that it may be left
to the Deputy Commr to decide
in which cases he should call
for an inspection by the District
Medical and Sanitary Officer.

2. Subs for order.

wq 17.5.12 3. The following is the existing order:—

Page 283 of the Rev: Manual—

"In Selecting Sites for extension of villages, it would be sufficient if the proposed Sites are inspected and approved by the Amildar or the Dy Amildar concerned and the District Medical Officer and the Local Board members consider the report of the Amildar or the Dy Amildar and the Dt. Medical Officer and record a resolution thereon, formally accepting their Selection"—

If the Rev: Commrs suggestion is accepted, the order has to be suitably amended—

8.14 17.5.12 [illegible] 4. On a reference made & the Dy Commr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

5434

Ldrs 198 1911-12

the Chitaldrug District the same subject is under consideration in Br IV. This may be sent to that Branch for being dealt with that file by

17/5/12

~~Transferred to Br IV~~

E 1289 18/5/12

CA 17.5.12

Submitted

5. On a reference received from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, suggesting that the opinion of the Revenue officers as to the suitability of the site to be acquired for extension & shifting of villages may be taken to be sufficient and to relieve the District Medical officer of the work of inspecting the said sites, Govt have ordered that they are not in favour of entrusting the work to purely Revenue authorities and that the District Medical officer, with the help of the District Sanitary Assistant, should attend to the work proposed to, without detriment to his Medical duties. (Govt. proc. No. 8921-3 Sam 102-11-3 dated the 25th May 1912).

This may now be Returned to Br I

27.5.12

To Branch I

28-5-12

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen LR 94 File No. 443 1912-13 of ~~1911-12~~

Serial No.

5484

6. Draft stating that no change in the existing rule is called for is submitted for approval or other orders -

11/6/09
5/6/12 6/6/91

Secy) 8
7/6/12

7. I think that a modification of the rules now in force is desirable. Even if it is considered undesirable or unsafe to entrust the selection & selection for reduced establishments to accountants, at least the Asst Comptr & Dep[y] Comptr may be empowered to select them: and a further inspection of the selected by the Dist Sanit[n] Officer after they have been selected and approved of by the Asst Comptr & Dep[y] Comptr after personal inspection may be dispensed with.

2nd Counsellor ✱
Dewan

11/6/12
7/6/12

8 How did Sanitary officers are being employed who will find time to attend

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

2 June 1918

G. R. S. 12]

6

General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~Sanitation~~ File No. ~~443~~ 94 of 1912-13 ~~1911-12~~

Serial No.	
—	10. Draft submitted for approval or other orders.
	Issue 1/10 28/8/12
4	11) To the Sanitary Commissioner No 1944 & 30.8.1912. Requests him to favor forthwith his opinion as to the points in regard to which information should generally be obtained & recorded before selecting the sites.
5	12) From the Sanitary Commissioner, No 1146 dated 9.9.12 Submits for consideration the points to be observed in selecting sites for village extension.
	Submitted See 4) 18.9.12
	2nd Councilor & Dewan 23/9 23.9.12
	13) Record my opinion as recorded on page 5

3637 Rec'd 28.9.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

27/9

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sen 94
Lr File No. 443 of 1912-13

Serial No.

5434

Dr Mountford's report on Central Meeting — Only a few Drs here and many officers — Some practical proposals he has the advantage of discussing matters with

Dr Macy

Govt

1st Comm[unicatio]n

25/11/10

14 ~~Some~~ The Common Sense Solution seems to be that when new sites of Considerable size or importance are to be selected, the Medical & Sanitary Officer's opinion should be obtained. But where the existing sites are not sheeted wholesale, but small additions are required, it would lead to intolerable delay &c, if Sanitary Offr's inspection has to be in-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sun ~~7-R~~ File No. 94 ~~643~~ 1912-13 of ~~1911-12~~

Serial No.	
	Seated as.
	10/10/12
	C. clear
	15. Note for meeting
	11/11 SPV 11.11.12

NOTE—Cover sheet.

9

Extract from the Proceedings of the 290th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 16th & 17th December ~~190~~ 1912.

---

5. Subject.-(Clause of the Schedule).

16 D.R.A. Subject No.224 of 1911 requesting that the Government rule requiring the previous inspection and approval by the District Sanitary officer of site required for new Villages and Extensions of existing villages may be modified and that the old practice of allowing Amildars and Assistant Commissioners to select such sites may be revived.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

17. Where the sites required for either the formatic of new villages or the extension of existing villages are intended to accommodate not more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary officer need not be insisted on, and it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide about the suitability of the site at his discretion. But in cases in which the new village site or the extension is required for more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary officer should be invariably obtained.

Sd/- M. Visvesvaraya.
Dewan of Mysore.

t r a c t "

Offg. Secretary to Government.

B—1000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sun File No. 94 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
	18 Submitted with Finance & Council Proceedings.
	19 Orders will be issued on Finance & Council resolution if approved
691 4.1.13	Dewan 3/1/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

To Submitted to His Highness.

The accompanying file relates to the representation of the members of the Representative Assembly (Subject No.224 of 1911) requesting that the existing rule noted on page 2 of the office notes requiring the previous inspection and approval by the District Sanitary Officer of sites required for new villages and extensions of existing villages may be modified and that the old practice of allowing Amildars and Assistant Commissioners to select such sites may be revived.

2. The Revenue Commissioner, who was consulted in the matter, stated that it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide in which cases he should call for an inspection by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer

3. In the meantime, on a representation received from the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District suggesting that the opinion of the Revenue Officers may be taken to be sufficient and that the District Medical Officer be relieved of the work of inspecting the sites, etc., separate orders had been passed stating that

Government

V.

Government were not in favour of entrusting the work to purely Revenue authorities and that the District Medical Officer, with the help of the District Sanitary Assistant, should attend to the work in question without detriment to his medical duties.

4. The papers were then forwarded to the then Second Councillor, Mr.D.Devaraj Urs, who was of opinion that a Sanitary Officer's certificate that the site selected is suitable from a sanitary point of view was very necessary. The late Dewan Mr.Ananda Row stated that there was considerable force in the Second Councillor's opinion and desired a list of the points to be observed in selecting sites for village extensions to be obtained and recorded. This was accordingly obtained from the Sanitary Commissioner. The late Dewan thereupon directed that the matter may be brought up at a Council meeting with a view to some practical procedure being adopted.

Page 5 of notes.

— do —.

W.

5. The subject was considered by the Council, who are of opinion that, where the sites required for either the formation of new villages or the extension of existing villages are intended to accommodate

Page 9 of notes —

not

not more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary Officer need not be insisted on, and it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide about the suitability of the site at his discretion, but that, in cases in which the new village site or the extension is required for more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary Officer should invariably be obtained.

6. This recommendation may be approved.

M. Visvanatha.
31 Jan. 1913.

fol 2

615

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Con File No. 94 of 1912-13

Serial No.	
	23 Draft submitted Draft-66 14.2.13 (18)
	24 Please put up a typed draft as revised for submission to the 2nd C. ZZ 20/2/13
	25 Submitted with typed draft. Draft 66 21.2.13 (18) ZZ 22/2/13
	Dy Secy) Secy) Draft 24/2
	26 2nd Counaker 25/2 Draft 25/2 ZZ 25/2/13
	27 Draft-approved as I feel we have taken a retrograde step in enforcing with views as have proposed. 26/2/13
	28 Issue ZZ 26/2/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

Dist... 5546
1
ARS 8/4
2012-13
Sanctioned
94-12
1
LR 5

SUBJECT No. 224.

Extension of village sites.

Messrs. Mahalinganna, Hassan taluk, and Ramaswamisetty, Arkalgud taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Consequent on the increase of population, as shown in the recent Census, there has been difficulty for suitable sites for building houses in villages. The existing village sites or "gavtans" allotted a long time ago are insufficient. Pray therefore that during resurvey, arrangements may be made to extend

the village site by acquiring convenient dry fields, whether occupied or not, to ensure better sanitation in such villages.

(*b*) Recently, Government have been pleased to order that plots for new village sites and extensions to existing village sites are to be approved of by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, before they can be allotted for building purposes. But as the rule is found to be impracticable owing to the fact that, in a district, there is only one District Medical and Sanitary Officer, it is prayed that the old practice of selection of suitable sites by the Amildars and the Assistant Commissioners be revived as this would go a great way to help the people in their difficulties.

Reply of Government.—Facilities are being given for village extensions in the manner suggested. Except where large and important extensions are concerned, Deputy Commissioners or Assistant Commissioners and the Amildar and the Hospital Assistant of the taluk ought to be able to constitute themselves into a committee together with one or more members of the District Board where available and decide upon the eligibility of sites selected for such extensions, without requiring the District Medical and Sanitary Officer to advise in every individual case.

1/2 2/4. 2

94-12

G.R.S. 26] 2672

File No. 443-11
Serial No. 2 1

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. R.S 962

General and Revenue Secretariat
(LR)
, *the* 19 .

To
The Rev. Comr.
in Trupne.

Date of issue 25-6-12

Signed by Mr. B. Narinsamiengar

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to Subject No. 224 of the Progs of the ~~Asst~~ Representative Assembly of 1911 ~~regarding the exclusion of village sites and the supply of Govt lands~~, and to request you to be so good as to furnish your

over.

in which it is suggested that the selection of new lands for exclusion of village sites may be left to the Amildar & Sub Dy Opr, instead of each site being required specified & approved by the District Sanitary Bd

SUBJECT.

in the matter
of opinion ~~as the matter~~ practicability
of the suggestion contained in the reply of
Govt. Thanks &c.

&
for Secretary
18/4/12

88.14
17.4.12
(9)

List of enclosures,

Copied by 24/4/12

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

No. 12765 OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE. 3

Bangalore, 10th May 1912. *191* .

FROM

K. Doraswami Iyer Esquire,

Officiating *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

5434

1911-13

443-11

2

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.R.5962/L.R.443-11-1, dated 25th April 1911, calling for my opinion in the matter of consulting the District Medical and Sanitary Officer in the selection of new lands for the extension of village sites (D.R.A. Subject No.224 of 1911), I have the honor to state that it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide in which cases he should call for an inspection by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Doraswami Iyer

Officiating Revenue Commissioner.

V.M.R.

1/145/20 191 -191

From

The Offg. *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated Bangalore, 10th May 1912.

Despatched 13

Received

General No. 12765

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Furnishing opinion in the matter consulting the District Medical and Sanitary Of the selection of new lands for exte sion of village site (B.R.A.Subject No.224 of 1911).

G. R. S. 26]

29-8

Sws

File No. 94-7

Serial No. 4

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

No. 1944 Sanitary

Dy 30.8.12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* , *191* .

To

The Sanitary Commissio

Sir,

In inviting your attn to

to subject no 224 of the proceed

of the Representative Assembly

1911 suggesting that the selection

new lands for exclusion of vill

sites may be left to the Rev...

authorities instead of each sit

being inspected and approved

by the Dt Sanitary Officer, I am

directed to request you

to be so good as to ~~procure~~

over.

Date of issue 30.8.12

Signed by Mr SPR

SUBJECT.

please forward me your opinion as to the ~~existing~~ points in respect to which information should generally be obtained and recorded before in selecting sites for village extension, such as the area of the site proposed to be reserved, its distance from the existing village site, its drainage capacity, the probable depth at which subsoil water can be found, distance and point of the compass from the nearest bank or channel, &c., so as to enable those who have a voice in the matter to form an opinion without delay

I have &c

for

Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by [illegible] 29-8-11

Examined by

29/8/11

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE.

191

From THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

Dated 9 - SEP 1912

Despatched

Received

General No. 1146.

No.

Enclosure No.

Furnishing information as to the points in regard to which information should generally be obtained and recorded before selecting sites for village extension

CAXTON PRESS, BANGALORE, 500-11-11.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE.

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVT. OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated the 9th September 1912

No. 1146
ENC.

From

DIARY No. 1615

1912-1913
SECTION Sanitary
FILE No. 94-12
SERIAL No. 5

P.S. Achyuta Rao Esq.,
Sanitary Commissioner with the
Government of H. H. the Maharajah
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments,
B a n g a l o r e .

SIR,

In reply to your No. 1944 Sany. dated the 30th August 1912, I have the honor to state as under -

1. The site should be high and dry. The general soil should if possible be porous such as gravel which allows the water to run freely away or chalk which retains but comparatively little moisture and does not cause dampness.

2. The site must not be fixed upon a moist and malarious soil as excess of water and organic emanations may pass into the houses.

3. Shallow beds of gravel or sand lying on clay are bad as they get frequently water-logged. Alluvial tracts should be altogether avoided.

4. There should not be wet cultivation for a distar of two hundred yards all round the village site nor shoule there be a tank or channel at this distance.

5. The site should not be at the foot of a slope or in deep valleys. It should if possible fall in all directions as it would afford facilities for proper drainage.

6. The site completely divested of trees is the best. Should however it be or become too exposed it should be protected by a belt of trees but at a distance however, sufficient not to cause stagnation of air or dampness.

7. The area of a new site should be at least twice that of the existing village and if possible at least two hundred yards away from it.

8. Sub-soil or ground water should not be nearer the surface than ten feet,

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. V. Augustus

Sanitary Commissioner.

P.H.

6 21.2.13

1615 B 7

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Z Para 3 of Government Proceedings No.8144 52/L.B 124-06-4 dated the 12th March 1907,directing that in selecting sites for extension of villages, it would be sufficient if the proposed site are inspected and approved of by the Amildar or th Deputy Amildar concerned and the District Medical Officer, and the Local Board Members consider such reports received from the Amildar or the Deputy Amildar and the District Medical Officer and record a resolution thereon formally accepting their selection.

y 2. Government Proceedings No.478-87 ~~date~~ L.B.127-06-7 dated the 15th July 1907,directing that the extension or shifting of villages and the creation of new ones be dealt with by the Revenue Agency direct, without the intervention of the District Boards.

x 3. Government Proceedings No.7636-45/San.13 -08-2 dated the 10th February 1909, recording [illegible] concurrence the communication, [illegible] received fro[illegible] Sanitary Commissioner, stating that [illegible] er

of of selecting new sites for the formation, extension or removal of a village, in the interests of sanitation, it is highly essential that the opinion of a sanitary authority should be taken.

4. Subject No.284 of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1911, representing that the order that the District Medical and Sanitary Officer should in each case approve of the sites intended to be acquired for the formation of the new villages or for the extension of the existing village sites is found to be impracticable and suggesting that the old practice of selection of suitable site by the Amildars and the Assistant Commissioner be revived.

5. Letter No.12765 dated the 10th May 1912, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore stating that it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide in which cases he should call for an inspection by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer.

6. Letter No.1146 dated the 9th September 1912, from the Sanitary Commissioner, sub-

submitting for consideration of Government the points to be observed in selecting sites for village extension.

----OoO----

Order thereon No. 6623-34 Sanity 94-12

Dated Bangalore, 1st March ~~Febry~~.1913.

In ~~supersession~~ modification of the previous orders on the subject, Government direct that where th sites required for either the formation of new villages or the extension of existing villages are intended to accommodate not more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary Officer need not be insisted on, and that it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to deci about the suitability of the site at his discr tion. But in cases, in which the new village site or the extension is required for more tha 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitar Officer or of the Medical Officer of the Dis trict where there is no District Sanitary Offi er should invariably be obtained.

N.B
This may be cancelled as the order will be in force in that respect
Dass

2. The procedure of meeting the cos of acquiring lands for such purposes from Stat funds shall continue to be regulated in accord

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local Fund and Sanitary (Sanitary), dated 1st March 1913.

READ—

Para 3 of Government Proceedings No. 8144-52—L. B. 124-06-4, dated the 12th March 1907, directing that in selecting sites for extension of villages, it would be sufficient if the proposed sites are inspected and approved of by the Amildar or the Deputy Amildar concerned and the District Medical Officer, and the Local Board members consider such reports received from the Amildar or the Deputy Amildar and the District Medical Officer and record a resolution thereon formally accepting their selection.

2. Government Proceedings No. 478-87—L. B. 127-06-7, dated the 15th July 1907, directing that the extension or shifting of villages and the creation of new ones be dealt with by the Revenue Agency direct, without the intervention of the District Boards.

3. Government Proceedings No. 7636-45—San. 132-08-2, dated the 10th February 1909, recording with concurrence the communication, received from the Sanitary Commissioner, stating that in the matter of selecting new sites for the formation, extension or removal of a village, in the interests of sanitation, it is highly essential that the opinion of a sanitary authority should be taken.

4. Subject No. 224 of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1911, representing that the order that the District Medical and Sanitary Officer should in each case approve of the sites intended to be acquired for the formation of the new villages or for the extension of the existing village sites is found to be impracticable and suggesting that the old practice of selection of suitable sites by the Amildars and the Assistant Commissioners be revived.

5. Letter No. 12765, dated the 10th May 1912, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, stating that it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide in which cases he should call for an inspection by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer.

6. Letter No. 1146, dated the 9th September 1912, from the Sanitary Commissioner, submitting for consideration of Government the points to be observed in selecting sites for village extension.

No. 6623-34—SAN. 94-12-6, DATED BANGALORE, 1ST MARCH 1913.

ORDER THEREON.—In modification of the previous orders on the subject, Government direct that, where the sites required for either the formation of new villages or the extension of existing villages are intended to accommodate not more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary Officer need not be insisted on, and that it may be left to the Deputy Commissioner to decide about the suitability of the site at his discretion. But in cases, in which the new village site or the extension is required for more than 50 houses, the opinion of the District Sanitary Officer or of the Medical Officer of the District where there is no District Sanitary Officer should invariably be obtained.

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore.
Messrs. Mahalingappa, Hassan Taluk, and Ramaswami Setti, Arkalgud Taluk, Representative Assembly Members, who mooted the subject.

Exd.—C. R.

1912-13

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LOCAL FUND AND SANITARY
(SANITARY)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 1st March 1913

No. 6623-34
San. 94-12-7

Passes new orders in modification of the previous orders in regard to the opinion of the District Sanitary Officer being taken for the selection of sites either for the formation of new villages or the extension of the existing villages.

GPE—10-3-13—250